

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,354	07/14/2003	Tao Cheng,	MTKP0024USA	1353	
27765	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER		
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	<i>D</i> , 22 6		2836		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ç3

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/604,354	CHENG, ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Luis Roman	2836		

	Luis Roman	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with ti	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or continuous time application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply er than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approproring originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see low);	NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		(PTOL 324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		i-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended in the new or) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ ovided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the af	idavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered I See Continuation Sheet. 	out does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- ASIAN OID	aug.
		BRIAN SIRE SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	IT EXABINED

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11.

Applicant amendment filed on 08/08/06 has not been entered.

Claims 7 & 16 cannot be dependent on 1 & 14 respectively. The layout of the transistors from claims 7 & 16 is different from the layout of the transistors of claims 1 & 14 respectively.

Claims 7 & 16 need to be cancelled or rewritten in an independent form.